To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from RE to PCD

And Grant Outline Approval for the PCD Hillside Drive West of Maxwell Street (Sarkes Tarzian, Inc.)

WHEREAS.

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code;

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case DP/PCD-53-85, and recommended that the petitioner, SARKES TARZIAN, INC., be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECITON I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RE to BL/PCD for property located on Hillside Drive West of Maxwell Street, and more accurately described as follows:

A part of Seminary Lot 136, Bloomington, Indiana, described as follows: Beginning at a point on the West line of said Seminary Lot 136, said point being 555.02 feet S00° -44' -25"E of the Northwest corner of said Seminary Lot 136, theme East for a distance of 29.54 feet, thence S88° -28' -44"E for a distance of 463.59 feet, thence S00° -44' -25"E for a distance of 760.93 feet to the centerline of Hillside drive, thence N88° -59' -16"W over and along the centerline of said Hillside Drive for a distance of 493.00 feet to the Southwest corner of said Seminary Lot 136, thence N00° -44' -25"W over and along the West line of said Seminary Lot 136 for a distance of 764.53 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 8.63 acres, more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above described parcel be designated a planned commercial development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approved by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon the 31 day of July, 1985.

PATRICIA GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this day of august 1985.

Swan Fernandes

SUSAN FERNANDES, Deputy City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 1 day of August 1985.

Jernielea alleson TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor

City of Bloomington

## SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the 8.63 acres on Hillside West of Maxwell Street as a PCD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the proposed TV/radio station facilities and Sarkes Tarzian headquarters.

## \*\*\*\*ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION\*\*\*\*

by a vote of 10 Ayes, 0 Nay	s, and <u>0</u> Abst	entions by the Bloomington	•
City Plan Commission at a pub	olic hearing hel	d on July 15, 1985.	
		Timothy a. Mueller	
		Tim Mueller, Secretary	
Date: July 10	,1985	I'M INCITCL, Decreedy	
	•	Plan Commission	
Received by the Common Counci	ll Office this _	10 day of,198	5
Patricia Williams			
Patricia Williams, City Clerk	<del>-</del>	•	
Appropriation Ordinance #	Fiscal Impa		
Appropriation Ordinance #	Ordinance	Resolution#_	
Type of Legislation:	- <del>-</del> - <del></del>		
Approprieties P. 1	of Decame	Penal Ordinance	
	of Program Program	Penal Ordinance Grant Approval	<del>_</del>
Salary Change Bond	ling.	Administrative Change	
	estments	Short-Term Borrowing	_
New Fees Anne	exation	Other	<del>_</del>
RE to PCD		<del></del>	
Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure			
		Emergency	
Unforseen Need		EmergencyOther	_
Unforseen Need			
			_
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected		Other	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1	\$		
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January 1  Revenue to Date		Other\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date	year	Other\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	year	Other\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date	year	Other\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	year	Other\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date  Unappropriated Balance  Effect of Proposed Legislation	year	\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date  Unappropriated Balance  Effect of Proposed Legislation	year	0ther\$	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date  Unappropriated Balance  Effect of Proposed Legislation  Projected Balance	year on(+/-) \$ Signature	Other\$  \$ of Controller	
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date  Unappropriated Balance  Effect of Proposed Legislation  Projected Balance  Will the legislation have a maliability or revenues? Yes	year  on(+/-)  Signature  najor impact on No_X	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations,	fiscal
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected  Fund Balance as of January l  Revenue to Date  Revenue Expected for Rest of  Appropriations to Date  Unappropriated Balance  Effect of Proposed Legislation  Projected Balance  Will the legislation have a maliability or revenues? Yes_	year  on(+/-)  \$ Signature  najor impact on No X  nave a major fis This property	Other\$  \$ of Controller	fiscal
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance  Will the legislation have a maliability or revenues? Yes_  If the legislation will not lareason for your conclusion.  If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues will not lareason to the second of the second	year  Signature  Signa	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations,	fiscal
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January I Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance  Will the legislation have a maliability or revenues? Yes_  If the legislation will not be reason for your conclusion.  If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues was significant additional expendent.	year  Signature  Signa	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, cal impact, explain briefly the is already receiving City impact, explain briefly what to de factors which could lead to	fiscal
Unforseen Need  Funds Affected by Request:  Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January I Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance  Will the legislation have a maliability or revenues? Yes_  If the legislation will not be reason for your conclusion.  If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues was significant additional expendent.	year  Signature  Signa	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, cal impact, explain briefly the is already receiving City impact, explain briefly what to de factors which could lead to	fiscal

July 10, 1985

Date

Timothy Mueller

By\_